	Case 2:12-cv-01057-GMN-NJK	Document 143	Filed 06/27/13	Page 1 of 5	
1 2 3	DAVID S. KAHN (NV 7038) <u>david.kahn@wilsonelser.com</u> SHERI M. THOME (NV 8627) <u>sheri.thome@wilsonelser.com</u> J. SCOTT BURRIS (NV 010529) <u>j.scott.burris@wilsonelser.com</u> WILSON ELSER MOSKOWITZ EDELMAN & DICKER LLP 300 South Fourth Street, 11th Floor				
4	Las Vegas, NV 89101 Tel: (702) 727-1400 Fax: (702) 727-1401				
5 6 7	MATTHEW SHAYEFAR (MA 685927) (<i>pro hac vice</i>) <u>matt@bostonlawgroup.com</u> BOSTON LAW GROUP, PC 825 Beacon Street, Suite 20 Newton Centre, MA 02459 Tel: (617) 928-1806 Fax: (617) 928-1802				
8	Attorneys for Defendant FF MAGNAT LIMITED				
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
10	DISTRICT OF NEVADA				
11	LIBERTY MEDIA HOLDINGS, LLC, a California Corporation,		Io. 2:12-cv-01057		
12 13	Plaintiff vs.		ASSENT TO RE	CD'S RESPONSE QUESTED	
14 15 16	FF MAGNAT LIMITED d/b/a ORON.CO MAXIM BOCHENKO a/k/a ROMAN ROMANOV; and JOHN DOES 1 – 500,	M;			
10	Defendants.				
18	The parties to the above-captioned	matter have, at lo	ng-last, settled the	eir disputes and	
19	agree that the funds currently being held in escrow for Liberty Media Holdings, LLC ("Liberty")				
20	by its former counsel, Marc Randazza ("Attorney Randazza") and Randazza Law Group				
21	("RLG"), should be immediately disbursed to Liberty's counsel. Shockingly, however, despite				
22	their clear ethical and fiduciary duties, Attorney Randazza and RLG have failed to disburse the				
23	funds as directed by the parties, threatening settlements in the present action and in a separate				
	FF MAGNAT LIMITED'S RESPON CASE NO. 2:	1 NSE AND ASSE1 12-CV-01057-GN	-	TED RELIEF	

Case 2:12-cv-01057-GMN-NJK Document 143 Filed 06/27/13 Page 2 of 5

action arising out of the Northern District of California and currently pending before the Ninth
 Circuit Court of Appeals. Attorney Randazza's position is legally untenable and this Court
 should issue an order as requested by Liberty requiring the immediate disbursement of funds
 held in Attorney Randazza's/RLG's client funds account. In further support of this response, FF
 Magnat, Limited d/b/a Oron.com ("Oron") states as follows.

On June 20, 2012, Liberty Media filed its complaint alleging that Oron had, by
 providing cloud storage services through the Internet, committed direct, contributory, vicarious,
 and inductive acts of infringement of Liberty Media's works in violation of federal copyright
 law. Docket No. 1. At the same time, Liberty Media also filed an Ex Parte Application for
 Temporary Restraining Order seeking to freeze Oron's assets in all of Oron's banks and payment
 processing companies. Docket No. 2.

2. On June 21, 2012, this Court issued a temporary restraining order that effectively
froze all of Oron's assets – including all funds maintained by any U.S. bank or financial
institution, and all funds belonging to Oron in the custody of PayPal, Inc., CCBill, LLC, and
AlertPay – for a period of fourteen days until a hearing on a preliminary injunction could be
heard. Docket No. 11, and amended order, Docket No. 13. In addition, the Court specifically
enjoined Oron "from disgorging or dissipating any funds, property, domain names, or other
assets until further notice." Docket No. 13 at ¶ 6.

Contemporaneous with its filings in the District Court, Liberty Media instituted
legal proceedings against Oron in Hong Kong, where Oron maintains its principal place of
business. Liberty Media obtained an order from the Hong Kong court freezing Oron's assets in
Hong Kong as well. The Hong Kong court specifically relied upon this Court's issuance of its
temporary restraining order in issuing its own identical order.

FF MAGNAT LIMITED'S RESPONSE AND ASSENT TO REQUESTED RELIEF CASE NO. 2:12-CV-01057-GMN-NJK 4. As a result of these orders, substantially all of Oron's assets were frozen, and
 Oron was unable to pay its service providers. Faced with the imminent collapse of its entire
 business if it remained unable to pay its service providers, Oron entered into settlement
 negotiations with Liberty Media.

5 5. Although the parties at the time disputed the existence of an enforceable
agreement, on August 7, 2012, this Court granted Liberty Media's Motion to Enforce Settlement.
Docket No. 85. In the same Order, this Court instructed PayPal to immediately transfer to
Attorney Randazza's client trust fund five hundred fifty thousand dollars (\$550,000), pursuant to
the Settlement Agreement.

10 6. On September 5, 2012, Oron filed a timely Notice of Appeal of the District
11 Court's Judgments and Orders. Docket No. 117.

7. On June 24, 2013, the Parties, having entered into a new settlement agreement,
filed a Motion to Voluntarily Dismiss the appeal that was pending before the Ninth Circuit Court
of Appeals. That motion was allowed on June 25, 2013.

8. Pursuant to the terms of the Agreement enforced by this Court, Attorney
Randazza and/or RLG were to hold the settlement funds in escrow for the benefit of Liberty
"until settlement is final...."

9. The parties have unambiguously informed Attorney Randazza and RLG that (a)
 the settlement is final and (b) that the funds should be immediately disbursed to Liberty, through
 its current counsel, in accordance with the Agreement enforced by this Court.

21 10. Attorney Randazza and RLG, however, have held the funds hostage – claiming
22 alternately that a supposed attorney's lien for approximately \$80,000 entitles them to hold
23 \$550,000 and that unadjudicated claims for amounts in excess of the claimed \$80,000 allow

FF MAGNAT LIMITED'S RESPONSE AND ASSENT TO REQUESTED RELIEF CASE NO. 2:12-CV-01057-GMN-NJK

Case 2:12-cv-01057-GMN-NJK Document 143 Filed 06/27/13 Page 4 of 5

them to exercise some form of "self help" by refusing to disburse funds over which he has no
 right to retain. Attorney Randazza's/RLG's failure to disburse at least the \$470,000 of funds for
 which no attorney's lien was asserted is nothing short of conversion of the funds which they hold
 in escrow for the benefit of Liberty.

11. To be clear, to the extent that the Agreement enforced by this Court contemplated the possibility that Attorney Randazza would personally owe a penalty to Oron if the funds were disbursed prior to a final settlement, Oron has made clear – and states again – that there is now a final settlement. If Attorney Randazza/RLG distribute the funds held in their client funds account to Liberty's counsel – or at least the \$470,000 of funds not subjected to his purported attorney's lien – Oron shall not claim that the funds were distributed prematurely in violation of the Agreement.

12. Although Oron is not at liberty to discuss the particular terms of the settlement in this matter or in the case in the Northern District of California, Oron can say that the disbursement of the funds held by Attorney Randazza/RLG is a crucial element of both settlements and Attorney Randazza's/RLG's unexpected and unwarranted refusal to disburse said funds has the potential to derail both agreements.

Conclusion

For the reasons articulated herein and in Liberty Media's Emergency Motion, Oron respectfully requests that Liberty's motion be ALLOWED and that the Court issue an order declaring (a) that there is no valid basis for Attorney Randazza's/RLG's continued retention of the Funds; (b) that the Funds, which have heretofore been held by Attorney Randazza and/or RLG in trust for Liberty's benefit, are, in accordance with the Transfer Request Letter and Confirming Letter, due and payable in their entirety to Liberty, via Liberty's counsel; and (c)

FF MAGNAT LIMITED'S RESPONSE AND ASSENT TO REQUESTED RELIEF CASE NO. 2:12-CV-01057-GMN-NJK

Case 2:12-cv-01057-GMN-NJK Document 143 Filed 06/27/13 Page 5 of 5

1	ordering Attorney Randazza and/or RLG to immediately disburse the entirety of the funds to			
2	Liberty, via Liberty's counsel. ¹			
3				
4	Dated: June 27, 2013 Respectfully submitted,			
5	BOSTON LAW GROUP, PC			
6				
7	By: <u>/s/ Matthew Shayefar</u>			
8	Matthew Shayefar Attorneys for Defendant			
9	FF MAGNAT LIMITED			
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22 23	¹ Although Oron finds persuasive Liberty's argument that the charging lien should be declared null and void, it also recognizes that that issue is between Liberty and Attorney Randazza. Oron has no desire to be caught in the middle of the dispute between Liberty and its former counsel. Because the terms of the settlements can be fulfilled if eithe the entire \$550,000 is disbursed or \$470,000 of the Funds are disbursed, Oron remains neutral on this point, though			
-	it does not oppose Liberty's request that the lien be declared unenforceable if the Court determines this to be the case.			
	5 EE MACNAT I IMITED'S DESDONSE AND ASSENT TO DEOLIESTED DELIEE			
	FF MAGNAT LIMITED'S RESPONSE AND ASSENT TO REQUESTED RELIEF CASE NO. 2:12-CV-01057-GMN-NJK			