	Case 2:12-cv-01057-GMN-NJK Docu	ment 147 Filed 07/02/13 Page 1 of 3	
1	DAVID S. KAHN (NV 7038) <u>david.kahn@wilsonelser.com</u> SHERI M. THOME (NV 8627) <u>sheri.thome@wilsonelser.com</u>		
2	J. SCOTT BURRIS (NV 010529) j.scott.burris@wilsonelser.com WILSON ELSER MOSKOWITZ EDELMAN & DICKER LLP		
3	300 South Fourth Street, 11th Floor Las Vegas, NV 89101		
4	Tel: (702) 727-1400 Fax: (702) 727-1401		
5	MATTHEW SHAYEFAR (MA 685927) (pro hac vice) matt@bostonlawgroup.com BOSTON LAW GROUP, PC 825 Beacon Street, Suite 20 Newton Centre, MA 02459 Tel: (617) 928-1806 Fax: (617) 928-1802		
6			
7			
8	Attorneys for Defendant FF MAGNAT LIMITED		
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
10	LIBERTY MEDIA HOLDINGS, LLC, a	Case No. 2:12-cv-01057-GMN-NJK	
11	California Corporation,	FF MAGNAT LIMITED'S REPLY TO	
12	Plaintiff	MARC RANDAZZA'S AND RANDAZZA LAW GROUP'S OBJECTION	
13	VS.		
14	FF MAGNAT LIMITED d/b/a ORON.COM; MAXIM BOCHENKO a/k/a ROMAN		
15	ROMANOV; and JOHN DOES 1 – 500,		
16	Defendants.		
17	In yet another odd twist to an already odd situation, non-parties Marc Randazza		
18			
19	("Attorney Randazza") and his office, Randazza Law Group ("RLG") (collectively, "Randazza")		
20	have filed what purports to be a "third party objection," despite a complete lack of standing to		
21	file <i>anything</i> in the present case without first moving for the Court's permission to intervene.		
22	Odder still is Randazza's admission in his Opposition that, despite having no claim whatsoever		
23			
	1 FF MAGNAT'S REPLY TO RANDAZZA'S OBJECTION CASE NO. 2:12-CV-01057-GMN-NJK		
	CASE NO. 2:12-CV	/-0103/-01VIIN-INJK	

1 to at least \$273,500 worth of the funds which he is holding, he is nevertheless refusing to release 2 such funds, despite clear direction from the parties that he do so.¹

The dispute between Liberty Media Holdings, LLC and Randazza is just that – a dispute between Liberty Media and Randazza. And yet, Randazza is using the existence of that dispute to hold hostage monies for which he has no rightful claim (and makes no claim), seemingly to gain some litigation advantage over his former client in their arbitration.²

To be clear, to the extent that Randazza wants to play litigation games with his former client, FF Magnat, Ltd. d/b/a Oron.com ("Oron") has no dog in that fight. And to the extent that Liberty and Randazza have disputes concerning Randazza's compensation and his alleged wrongdoing, Oron is similarly disinterested. Oron is concerned with this dispute only because – and only to the extent – that Randazza's admittedly unjustified refusal to disburse the undisputed funds has imperiled the settlements in two separate litigations.

Because Randazza's opposition raises one issue concerning Oron, Oron takes the opportunity here to respond. The Settlement Agreement enforced by this Court provides that, if Attorney Randazza were to disburse funds prematurely, he could be personally liable to Oron.com for a penalty of up to \$55,000. Although Oron believes it has been clear in its prior correspondence with Randazza's counsel and in its filings with this Court, it will again allay Randazza's only articulated concern (with respect to Oron) by stating that, if Randazza immediately transfers to Liberty's counsel the undisputed \$273,500, Oron will consider all of

20

¹ In what reads like a hallucinatory fever dream, Randazza seems to claim that releasing even the undisputed portion of the funds he holds in his client trust account will require that he report himself to the bar because he will have released funds to which someone – namely himself – has made a claim. Putting aside the facial absurdity of this position, it still offers no reason for why Randazza has refused to release the *undisputed* portion of the frozen funds. Randazza's further admission that he is holding certain portions of the disputed funds as "security" despite the

lack of a court order authorizing him to do so is surprising, to say the least. Nevertheless, because Oron's interests 23 in the present dispute can be resolved without the release of the disputed portion of the funds, Oron leaves the issue to Liberty to address as it sees fit.

Attorney Randazza's obligations to Oron under the Settlement Agreement to be fulfilled. Oron
would not consider such a release to be premature and Oron (the only party to the Settlement
Agreement with a right to do so), would not seek any penalty from Randazza. Oron will make a
similar representation to Randazza's counsel directly. To the extent that the undisputed funds
are *not* immediately released, however, Oron will reserve its right to seek appropriate damages
from Randazza.

Conclusion

Because Randazza has conceded that he has no legitimate basis (indeed, no basis at all) to
continue to hold \$273,500 of the funds held in his client trust accounts for the benefit of Liberty,
and because Liberty and Oron are in agreement that said amounts should be immediately
transferred to Liberty's counsel, and because the delay in such transfer threatens the continued
viability of settlements in this and another case, Randazza and RLG should be ordered to
immediately transfer two hundred seventy three thousand five hundred dollars (\$273,500) to
counsel for Liberty.

Dated: July 2, 2013

7

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Respectfully submitted,

BOSTON LAW GROUP, PC

By: <u>/s/ Matthew Shayefar</u> Matthew Shayefar Attorneys for Defendant FF MAGNAT LIMITED